

REMARKS

Claims 1 – 17 are pending. By this Amendment, claims 1-3 and 4-8 are amended.

The Office Action rejects claims 1-15 and 17 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Loucks (5,764,984). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Loucks teaches that data is transferred from the address space of an application task to a personality neutral server and vice versa, and further from the personality neutral server to a dominant personality server and vice versa, with synchronization performed between those sections, as shown in Fig. 3.

Loucks, however fails to disclose finding a relative temporal operation sequence of software operations of one of the operating systems and another one of the operating systems with reference to the synchronization operation, as required by all independent claims of the application. Loucks teaches or suggests nothing more than the sharing of resources. Accordingly, none of the independent claims, or any of the dependent claims are anticipated by Loucks. Withdrawal of the rejection is requested.

The Office Action rejects claim 16 under 35 U.S.C. 103 over Loucks and the applications design choice. It is submitted that this rejection is moot in view of the above arguments, and should be withdrawn.


For the above reasons, it is submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. Prompt consideration and allowance are solicited.

The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned to discuss any matter concerning this application.

The Office is hereby authorized to charge the fee of \$450.00 for a Petition for Extension of Time Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) and any additional fees under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or § 1.17 or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 11-0600.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: June 27, 2005


David J. Zibelli
Registration No. 36,394

KENYON & KENYON
1500 K Street, N.W., Suite 700
Washington, D.C. 20005-1257
(T) (202) 220-4200
(F) (202) 429-0796
571493_1.DOC